



सत्यमेव जयते

**GUJARAT STATE HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION**  
**FIFTH ANNUAL REPORT**  
**Year : 2010 - 11**



*"The real  
SWARAJ will  
come not by the  
acquisition of  
authority by a  
few, but by the  
acquisition of  
capacity by all  
to resist  
authority when  
abused."*

**Gujarat State Human Rights Commission**  
**Gandhinagar - 382 017. GUJARAT**

---




सत्यमेव जयते

# THE GUJARAT STATE HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION

Fifth Annual Report

2010-2011




"The real *Swaraj* will come not by the acquisition of authority by a few, but by the acquisition of capacity by all to resist authority when abused."

- Mahatma Gandhi

**THE GUJARAT STATE HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION**

Town Hall Annexe, Sector- 17,  
Gandhinagar (Gujarat) 382017.

Fax: 079 232 57596 Phone : 079 232 57547 / 57593





सत्यमेव जयते

## The Gujarat State Human Rights Commission

Chairperson

Dr. Justice J. N. Bhatt.

### FOREWORD

The Gujarat State Human Rights Commission was constituted on **12<sup>th</sup> July, 2006**, in terms of the provisions of Chapter V of "**The Protection of Human Rights Act, 1993.**" This Commission has earlier submitted four Annual Reports. This is the Fifth Report of the Gujarat State Human Rights Commission covering the period from April 1, 2010 to March 31, 2011.

2. During the period under report, my predecessor was the Chairman and the present Chairperson assumed the office w.e.f. August 8, 2011. **Mr. Sudhir Sinha, Retd. IPS**, took over as Member, on November 22, 2011.

3. There is a purpose and policy behind the submission of the State Commission Reports under Section 28(1) of the Protection of Human Rights Act, 1993. The 4th Annual Report came to be submitted on-29/01/2011 u/s 28(1) and for placing the Report in the State Legislature along with the Memorandum of action taken or proposed to be taken on the recommendations of the State Commission u/s 28(2) of the Protection of Human Rights Act.

4. The main design and desideratum of the Protection of Human Rights Act, 1993, as amended in 2006, unequivocally, has been, promotion, proliferation and protection of human rights. There is no doubt about the fact that all human rights are universal, indivisible, inalienable, non-negotiable and inter - dependent and related. The international community therefore, must treat human rights globally on priority and in a fair and equal manner on the same footing and with the same emphasis.

5. All human beings are born free and equal in dignity and rights. They are endowed with reason and conscience and should act or interact towards one another in the spirit of brotherhood, with amity, comity and dignity for protection and preservation of human, fundamental and basic rights. The mechanism of **Rule of Law** is founded upon Human Rights and equal justice and other legal and constitutional rights enshrined in the legal realm. This concept and philosophy, undoubtedly, has deep roots in our culture and legacy since many centuries. It is true that every human being has inherent right to earth by birth, but how many have mining rights?

6. It is rightly said what the poor need, the most is not pity but love, then need to feel and enjoy respect for their human dignity, which is neither less nor different from the dignity of any other human being. Our country has been championing the cause and concern for the human dignity, peace and happiness. The State Commission, also, has been endeavoring to reach the ultimate goal of the Protection of Human Rights Act. It is very well known from the history of this country that we have pioneered the following principles:

"May all be happy "

"May all be healthy."

"May all be auspicious"


"May none suffer"

"Peace be unto all"

7. In the year 2009-2010, 2992 complaints were received by the State Commission while 2557 cases were disposed off during the year. The State Commission, thus, took effective steps to deal with the pending cases. In the year under review (2010-11), 3077 complaints had been received by the State Commission, while 890 complaints were pending with the State Commission, awaiting the reports from the authorities concern or the reports had been received and were pending for further consideration within the State Commission itself. In all, the State Commission disposed off 2187 complaints during the year of review.

8. Let it be mentioned that the more powerful challenges to the human rights come first from global poverty, illiteracy and second from internal conflicts as well as competing and conflicting interests. It is in this context, the Gujarat State Human Rights Commission has been addressing various aspects and issues as well as complaints and protection relating to the human rights and in particular of vulnerable segment of society like mentally and physically challenged persons, women, children, senior citizens as well as taking serious care of human rights problems of scheduled castes, scheduled tribes and backward sections of the society and also custodial justice.

9. Thus, the Fifth Annual Report for the year 2010-2011 is hereby submitted to the State Government under Section 28(2) of the Protection of Human Rights Act, 1993.



(Dr. Justice J. N. Bhatt)  
Chairperson

Gandhinagar

Date : 14-2-2013.

# Gujarat State Human Rights Commission

## Annual Report: 2010-11

### PREFACE

The Gujarat State Human Rights Commission having been set up on 12<sup>th</sup> July, 2006, mainly functions in accordance with the provisions incorporated into the Protection of Human Rights Act, 1993. While implementing this Act, the fifth Year of the Commission remained hectic on account of various activities. On completion the tenure of five years, Chairperson Dr. Justice D. S. Sinha retired on 18-3-2011. In his tenure the activities of the Commission was magnificent.

As per the provision of section 21(3), the Secretary of the State Commission is the Chief Executive Officer of the State Commission and shall exercise such powers and discharge such functions of the State Commission as the Commission may delegate to him. Thus, the State Commission, after taking necessary actions on the applications received from aggrieved persons disposes the applications on violation of human rights by the various public servants of the state Government. For the smooth working of the State Commission the Government has provided required funds to undertake the activities of the State Commission, but it requires autonomy in the sense of section 33 of the Act.

The fifth Annual Report contains 4 chapters and 8 annexures covering introduction of the Commission. The report mainly covers the subjects of recommendations made by the Commission to the various authorities and exemplary cases as well as the visit of Secretary to jails, women shelter homes and Remand homes and custodial death.

The chapter 2 and 3 throw light on the vital performance in the form of some '*exemplary cases*' carried out and recommendation made to the various authorities by the Commission during the year 2010-11. It can be seen that due to active and effective role of the Commission, fruitful results were achieved and quick relief was meted out to the applicant. Thus, the Commission had proved its meaningful existence.

The annexure appended to the Annual Report shows an average 80 % performance during the report period.

The audit of the accounts of the State Commission for the year 2006-07 has been carried out by the AG Rajkot, whereas the audit for the year 2007-08 to 2010-11 has not been undertaken by AG Rajkot since the Gujarat State Human Rights Commission (Annual Statement of Accounts) Rules, 2008, proposed by the State Commission to the Government have not been finalized in consultation with the Comptroller and Auditor General of India. The State Commission has already requested to the AG Rajkot to undertake the audit of the accounts of the State Commission as it does in the case of other Government offices until the finalization of the aforesaid rules by the Government and Comptroller and Auditor General of India.

This report has been prepared with the detailed information provided by the officers and staff of the State Commission. It also covers formation, historical background, statements of accounts, statements, graphs of petitions and districtwise cases as adopted and classified by the National Human Rights Commission. We are grateful to our small family of energetic officers/ employees for helping us to achieve our objectives.

Summarily, it is resulted that the public servants/authorities become more vigilant about the rights of people with intervention of the Commission which is the sign of welfare state. Therefore, time bound steps should be required to adhere under section 18 of the Act to dispose off the cases in specified time.

The **fifth Annual Report** for the year 2010-11 of the Gujarat State Human Rights Commission is submitted to the State Government under Section 28(2) of the Protection of Human Rights Protection Act, 1993.

Place: Gandhinagar  
Date: 14-2-2013.



(Kishor Rao D.)IAS  
Secretary & CEO



**GUJARAT STATE HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION  
FIFTH ANNUAL REPORT: 2010-11**

**Content**

Sr. No.	Particulars	Page No.
<b>Part I</b>	<b>Foreword &amp; Preface</b>	
	Foreword- Dr. Justice J. N. Bhatt, Chairperson, GSHRC	(1)
	Preface – Kishor Rao D, IAS, Secretary GSHRC	(3)
<b>Part-II</b>	<b>Chapters</b>	
1	Brief Introduction, History	1
2	Exemplary cases	4
3	Recommendations	9
4	Visits of the officers of the Commission	11
<b>Part- III</b>	<b>Annexure</b>	
Annexure-1	Statement showing Financial Accounts of GSHRC	14
Annexure- 2	Statement of custodial deaths in the year 2010-11	15
Annexure- 3	Offences related to women registered during the year 2010-11	16
Annexure- 4	Head wise and Subject wise code List of complaints of violation of Human Rights as classified by NHRC	17
Annexure- 5	(A) Progressive statement of cases up to 2010-11	19
	(B) Statistical information regarding petitions received in 2010-11	20
	(C) Statement of functioning with application subject/code wise 2010-11	21
Annexure- 6	Graph showing functions- 2010-11	
	(1) Cases District wise	27
	(2) cases Subject-head wise	28
Annexure- 7	List of sanctioned posts in Gujarat State Human Rights Commission	29
Annexure- 8	Telephone, Fax and Mobile numbers of the Officers of GSHRC	30



# Chapter - 1

## Brief Introduction, History

Gujarat State Human Rights Commission constituted in the year 2006 and started its function from September, 2006. This is fifth Annual Report for the year 2010-11 of the Gujarat State Human Rights Commission since its inception.

For understanding of International background behind this concept, the international conventions to protect the Human Rights, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) and The Protection of Human Rights Act 1993 were covered in preceding reports. The fundamental rights of the citizens and directive principles enshrined in the various provisions of the Constitution of India were the core aspects of the Human Rights concept. It was emphasized that the source of authority drawn from 'The protection of Human Rights Act, 1993' for the activities of the "National Human Rights Commission" (NHRC) at National level and under the same Act, as per Section 21 independent 'State Human Rights Commission' (SHRC) at State level.

The National Commission and State Commission are functional to deal with the complaints against the breach of human rights in the Gujarat State in their respective subject areas. In the reports it was also stated that Under **Section 30** and **Section 31** of the Protection of Human Rights Act, 1993, provisions have been made with the consent to Hon. Chief Justice of Gujarat High Court to appoint special courts for the speedy disposal of the cases arising out of violation of human rights headed by District Sessions Judge as the District Human Rights Court and appointed Special Public Prosecutors for speedy disposal of breach of human rights, but it is a fact that still no case is registered with the Courts. For public use, the State Commission has opened its own website. One can see <http://ghrc.guj.nic.in> for required public interest information. As it was stated the Gujarat State Human Rights Commission started its functions from Sept, 2006 which has been given in detail in its Report for the year 2006-07 to 2009-10. The functions and activities performed in the fifth year 2010-11 are covered in this Report. The statement of accounts is Given in schedule-1. The National Human Rights Commission, to maintain its information system has prescribed the details of common code of subjects which is being followed by the State Commissions also, this shows sub heads and major heads of different subjects on the breach of human rights in its rules and on these lines to link the Information Management System (IMS) through the National Information Network and The Gujarat state Area Wide Network (GSWAN) which is under consideration in the State Commission with the financial aid of the NHRC.

## 2. Functions of the State Commission

Details of the functions, procedure and other powers as per Sections 12, 13, 17 and Section 18 of the Protection of Human Rights Act, 1993 covered in past four Reports. Section 12 of aforesaid Act prescribes the functions of the Commission which inter alia include to;

The Commission shall perform all or any of the following functions, namely:-

- (a) to enquire, suo motu or on a petition presented to it by a victim or any person on his behalf, or on a direction or order of any court into complaint of:-
  - (i) Violation of human rights or abetment thereof; or
  - (ii) Negligence in the prevention of such violation, by a public servant;

- (b) intervene in any proceeding involving any allegation of violation of human rights pending before a court with the approval of such court;
- (c) visit, notwithstanding anything contained in any other law for the time being in force, any jail or other institution under the control of the state government, where persons are detained or lodged for purposes of treatment, reformation or protection, for the study of the living conditions of the inmates thereof and make recommendations thereon to the government;
- (d) review the safeguards provided by or under the Constitution or any law for the time being in force for the protection of human rights and recommend measures for their effective implementation;
- (e) review the factors', including acts of terrorism, that inhibit the enjoyment of human rights and recommend appropriate remedial measures;
- (f) study treaties and other international instruments on human rights and make recommendations for their effective implementation;
- (g) undertake and promote research in the field of human rights;
- (h) spread human rights literacy among various sections of society and promote awareness of the safeguards available for the protection of these rights through publications, the media, seminars and other available means;
- (i) encourage the efforts of non-governmental organizations and institutions working in the field of human rights;
- (j) such other functions as it may consider necessary for the promotion of human rights.'

### **3. The definition of Human Rights**

The Gujarat State Human Rights Commission functions as per the provisions of the Protection of Human Rights Act, 1993. "Human Rights" under the Act in Section 2 (d) is given as follows:-

"human rights', means the rights relating to life, liberty, equality and dignity of the individual guaranteed by the Constitution or embodied in the International Covenants and enforceable by courts in India",

### **4. The procedure and system adopted by the state Commission**

**(A)** The Commission has notified its own Regulations and guidelines "Gujarat State Human Rights Commission (Procedure) Regulation, 2006" dated 22.12.2006 and Guidelines dated 24.12.08 Under Section 10(2) to follow proper procedure to deal with petitions filed before it. 'The Commission while inquiring into the complaints of violations of human rights may call for information or report from the Central Government or any State Government or any other authority or organisation subordinate thereto within such time as may be specified by it provided that ,if the information or report is not received within the time stipulated by the Commission, it may proceed to inquire into the complaint on its own; if, on receipt of information or report, the Commission is satisfied either that no further inquiry is required or that the required action has been initiated or taken by the concerned Government or authority, it may not proceed with the complaint and inform the complainant accordingly; without prejudice to anything contained in clause (i), if it considers

necessary, having regard to the nature of the complaint initiate an inquiry.

**(B)** The State Commission has the powers relating to inquiries u/s 13 and 17 and the steps after the inquiry under Section 18. Considering the above provisions of the law, the complaints /petitions are received from the petitioners from all over the State, they are first being registered in the Inward Register of the office of the Commission and the receipt for the same is given to the concerned petitioner on the spot. Thereafter, such applications are scrutinized under the provisions, rules prescribed under the Human Rights Protection Act, 1993 and if prima facie breach of such Human Rights is found in it, the Public Authorities of the concerned Departments, Sections, Authorities, Offices, Boards, Corporations are called for to submit an independent clear report within 21 days in normal circumstances, and if it is not submitted within the prescribed time-limit, and procedure followed as per section 17 and 13, the State Commission takes further action and as per the provisions of section 13 (5), the State Commission procedure prescribed in the Civil Procedure Code as a Court. A preliminary hearing is done and the party can be summoned and the concerned Officer can be issued summons to remain present and the petition is admitted, the case and may initiate for further detailed inquiry. The Commission can also take action as per section 18 after adopting procedure as per sections 13 and 17.

**(C)** After receiving the report from the concerned competent authority on the applications, petitions received in the State Commission, it is scrutinized and thereafter State Commission takes final decision and if there is any lapse in the case at any stage right from inception to conclusion, under the Protection of Human Rights Act, suggestions, instructions, recommendations are sent to State Government or to the competent authorities as well as intimation sent to the concerned petitioner. The state Government or the concerned state authority in normal circumstance accepts such recommendations, instructions and reply their ATR under Section 18(e) within a period of one month or within the extended period by the Commission, etc. The same things are reflected in the report of the State Commission.

5. Powers of investigation, etc: In context of human rights, an important provision has already been made in section 14 so as to utilize the services of any officer or any office of the Government with the concurrence of the Government. Thus, concerned office or authority has to work under the direction and control of the State Commission while investigation. A provision has also been made to publish its report about procedures undertaken. After receiving such recommendation and observations of such report from the Commission after receiving from the authority furnishes its ATR to the Commission and finally it is published in the report of the Commission under section 18(f) of the Act. Under section 18(a) of the said Act, if the State Commission finds that the public servant has breached the human rights, then it will recommend to the authority as it deems fit to take actions about accountability and compensation as provided in the Act. Under section 18(b), the State Commission can contact in such a way as the court finds it proper for issuing writ, orders or directions from the Hon. Supreme Court or Hon. High Court and under section 18(c) can recommend interim relief as it deems fit.

Thus, the State Commission supports the Government in protecting human rights of the people by effective implementation, awareness and publicity of the rights and to grant compensation to the victim in cases of negligence and irresponsibility committed by the public servant as well as to take actions against the responsible.

## Chapter - 2

### Several Exemplary cases

**PATAN**

**1**

**PTN/14-2010**

Petitioner *Smt. Vijyaben Jogaji Thakor* of *Khareda* village of *Patan* district is an agricultural labourer. Her husband died due to snake bite during working in his farm.

The petitioner had prayed for assistance before the *Gujarat Shramyogi Kalyan Board, Gandhinagar* for death compensation. After rejection of her application by the Board, she had approached the Commission and filed a petition on 16-3-2010.

The State Commission called for a report from the Board by its letter dated 27-4-2010. After finding the facts of the case, the State Commission had recommended to the Board to consider her petition. Subsequently, the Board had sanctioned Rs. 1,00,000 as death compensation to the petitioner.

Thus, on intervention of the State Commission an agricultural labourer, vulnerable illiterate, miserable woman got justice. She also wrote a letter of thanks to the Commission.

**MEHSANA**

**2**

**MSA/71-2010**

Applicants *Shri Pathan Husen Khan Zafakhan and others*, live in city survey No. 20 of *Kasba* area of *Mehsana* city have applied to the State Commission for residential plot on 30-7-2010.

Government has unearthed their huts under encroachment abolition drive in year 2006. Applicants have earlier frequently applied for alternative residence plot to the Collector *Mehsana* but all efforts were in vain. Finally, they approached to the State Commission. The State Commission called for the report from Collector *Mehsana*. As the report of collector was not found satisfactory, the Commission has held hearing on 6-12-2010. After long argue, second hearing was fixed on 20-12-2010. In this hearing the Secretary of the GSHRC has recommended to consider the case of these BPL beneficiaries applicants sympathetically and submitted the action taken report to the Commission. At the concluding hearing held on 10-1-2011 between Applicants and Collector, the Collector reported in writing that eligible beneficiary applicants were allotted residential plots as per rules.

Applicants got residential plots only after consultation with the State Commission.

**AHMEDABAD**

**3**

**ADC/743-2010**

An applicant *Rashtriya Sangram Sena of Juna Vadaj* suburb of *Ahmedabad* city filed a petition dated 27-10-2010 regarding to provide essential services like residences, drinking water, health centre, BPL cards and school at *Bakra Mandi* area of *Ranip* suburb to the sufferers of BRTS project of the city.

The State Commission forwarded the application to Collector *Ahmedabad* and *Ahmedabad Municipal Corporation* for necessary action.

In response to the recommendations of the State Commission, *Ahmedabad Municipal Corporation* replied that drainage facility is already provided and fitting of DI pipe line work is in progress in this area.

Applicant *Shri Jethusinh Madhusinh Zala of Sabarkantha district* had filed an application dated 12-5-2009 in the State Commission against injustice in his pension.

Applicant had took voluntary retirement on 31-10-2001, but ST Division *Himatnagar* had considered his birth date as 20-10-1940 instead of 20-4-1944 at the time of pension fixation hence his pension was fixed on basis of 31-10-1998. Even after regular correspondence with ST authority and Provident Fund office, applicant could not get justice; he approached to the State Commission. The State Commission called for reports from ST authority and Provident Fund Office. As the reports were not found satisfactory, the State Commission had fixed hearing on 17-2-2011.

At the time of hearing before the Deputy Secretary of the GSHRC, both the authorities were present. Provident Fund officer had produced revised pension order as well as he gave promise that the difference will be paid by the end of March, 2011. Thus, the applicant got justice to get his benefits with intervention of the State Commission.

**AHMEDABAD**

5

**ADR-9/2010**

An applicant of this case, a widow *Valiben Govindbhai Bhangi* of Ahmedabad had filed an application dated 24-1-2010 in the State Commission stating that her husband had rented some money to the opponent. After death of her husband, when she asked the opponent to repay the rented money, he threatened to kill and started to harass her. Police had not registered her complaint.

The State Commission had sent her application to the Superintendent of Police Ahmedabad Rural for necessary action. Police had reported to the State Commission that both the parties bring together and arrived at amicable resolution; and opponent had returned rented money to the applicant. By this way, both the parties came to successful compromise.

Thus, interference of the State Commission, a widow got rented money back from the opponent.

**AHMEDABAD**

6

**ADC/32V2007**

*Navjivan Mahila Mandal* of Ahmedabad had submitted an application in the State Commission in the year 2007 regarding chemically polluted water in *Vatva* area of Ahmedabad city. The State Commission called for reports from the Gujarat Pollution Control Board (GPCB) and the Ahmedabad Municipal Corporation (AMC) vide letter dated 4-7-07.

The GPCB had reported to the State Commission in its report that the Board had started to installing new pipelines to discharge domestic polluted waste water of the societies. As well as the AMC had reported that they had taken care regarding the issue of the complaints of the *Mandal* in regard to chemically polluted water on war footing bases in *Vatva* area. It is further reported by the AMC that they had undertaken the efforts of repair and maintenance of mega pipeline in this area. Moreover, urgent steps to prevent overflowing polluted water from manhole of the mega pipeline and raise the height of the manholes of both the sides of the road near the bridge under construction from *Ambika* to *Bibi Talav* four roads leading to *Gujarat* offset four roads had been undertaken to discharge the chemically polluted water.

Thus, the steps had been taken by the GPCB and the AMC due to the active involvement of the State Commission on the complaint of *Navjivan Mahila Mandal*.

Applicant *Kashiben Dosabhai Parmar* serves at *Rajula Municipality* as *Safai Kamdar* (sweeper). Her birth certificate was issued by the Community Health Centre, Rujala.

She had represented by her application dated 7-12-2011 to the State Commission stating that not to retire her as erroneous birth date in her service book. The State Commission called for report of its letter dated 8-2-2011 from *Rajula Municipality*. Municipality had submitted its report by letter dated 15-2-2011.

On the consultation with the State Commission, after examination her service book, her birth date was read as 1-1-1951 instead of 1-1-1961 by error. Hence no superannuation retirement order was issued.

Thus, by the intervention of the State Commission, an inferior employee prevented from injustice due to a minor error.

*Shri Bhikhabhai Dahyabhai Sitapuri* had filed his petition dated 12-8-2010 before the State Commission, stating that he was retired as Traffic ASI *Ahmedabad City* on 30-6-2010. The payments of Pension, Gratuity and Leave Salary pay were paid to him on the basic of old pay scales instead of new pay scales and also requested to extend the permission to continue to holding Government quarter after his retirement.

The State Commission had sent the application to the Commissioner of Police, Ahmedabad City for necessary action. The Commissioner of Police replied to the State Commission by its letter dated 8-2-2011 that the pensionary benefits were sanctioned and paid on the basis of new pay scales. The leave salary will be paid to him on the same base after availability of grants. The Government quarter is permitted to hold up to 28-2-2011.

Thus, the State Commission became an instrument to uphold the pensinery benefits to a retired police employee.

Ahmedabad based adolescent *Kanchanben Rameshbhai Parmar* prayed before the State Commission by her application dated 29-9-2010 that being her immature age of 17, her parents are physical harassing and torturing her to marry with a young without her consent by force; and finally she forced to leave her parents house.

The State Commission sent her application to the Commissioner of Police Ahmedabad city for necessary action. Police reported that her parents had given up forcing for her marriage. Now her parents leave for Delhi for long time and she had stated in her written statement that she pleased by the action taken and withdrew the application. By this way a crime of child marriage is prevented and adolescent get justice.

An applicant *Shri Natwarsinh Mohansinh Solanki* of *Ajarapura village* of *Anand District* submitted his application dated 9-9-2010 before the state Commission stating that he had applied for loan for tailoring business to the District Industry Centre *Anand* under Bajpai Bankable Scheme; but nothing done from the Office. The State Commission had sent the application to the District Industry Centre for necessary action.



District Industry Centre replied by its letter dated 28-1-2011 that it had recommended positively sanctioning the loan to the bank on 4-6-2010 and bank had released loan for Rs. 14000/- on 22-10-2010.

Thus, positive efforts of the State Commission, a beneficiary succeed to get loan for starting tailoring business.

**AHMEDABAD**

**11**

**ADC/36/2010**

A resident and blind applicant of *Kubernagar, Chharanagar* of Ahmedabad City, *Shri Nanakram Dharamdas Ramchandani* had wrote a letter dated 5-10-2009 to the Commissioner of Police (CP) Ahmedabad City and a copy to the State Commission stating that city police had not took any action regarding abduction of his daughter *Jyoti*. In this regard the State Commission, vide its letter dated 16-2-2010 as well as second application dated 23-1-2010 vides its letter dated 4-3-2010 called for reports from CP. In reference to this, the first report was received on dated 17-5-2010 in which stated that the missing adolescent *Jyoti* fosters by the applicant and lives as family member and carries out house keeping works. In between conflict arose among applicant, his son and daughter-in-law. In this regard police case and legal actions also took place among them. CP had stated in his second report that *Jyoti* is missing on dated 4-1-2009, and the applicant doubted on his son and daughter-in-law and used to make applications against them. The search of the missing adolescent is in progress.

The applicant continued to make applications to the State Commission as well as argued on phone and in physically presence. He was firm to register police complaint against them. After the several correspondences by the State Commission, Ahmedabad *Shahibag Sardararnagar* police Station registered a crime against accused on 24-8-2010 u/s 363, 368, 323, 516(2), 174 of IPC and initiate investigation. The final result is awaited in the present case.

In this way, after involvement of the State Commission, a complaint was registered of a blind by the police.

**AHMEDABAD**

**12**

**ADC/180/2009**

Petitioner of Ahmedabad *Shri N. C. Langhanoja* lives in tenement No. 6B of P & T Civil Engineering wings Co O. H. Society since 1988. There was an aqueduct road to reach the tenement. Terminating this road in Town Planning Scheme Vejalpur-3, AUDA had merged the road in final plot No. 24/1; due this the way in will be close up in future. Petitioner had filed his petition on dated 22-3-2009 in the State Commission after not getting positive response from AUDA even after representations repeatedly. The State Commission called for a report from AUDA by its letter dated 20-4-2009 and reminders from time to time. Subsequent representation of petitioner and interference of the State Commission AUDA, after verifying the physical situation of the tenement had stated in its report dated 22-3-2011 to the State Commission that a scheme of a 6 meter approach road is prepared in Town Planning Scheme Vejalpur-3 for the tenement. The Town Planning Scheme had been submitted to the Government on dated 23-12-2010. The approach road will be opened only after getting approval from the Government.

Thus, a senior citizen got approach road by the interference of the State Commission.

**PANCHMAHAL****13****PM5/52/2010**

*Smt. Sumitraben Surendrasinh Chavda of Vejalpur (Kalol)* has filed an application dated 19-8-2010 before the State Commission requesting to remain present the staff in time at Kalol ST Depot for issuing student passes as well as to stop the ST bus at *Kheda-falia* pick-up stand.

The Commission has forwarded the application to the ST authority for necessary action. ST authority stated the Commission in its reply that necessary instructions in this regard have been issued to the Depot Manager.

**VADODARA****14****BRD/20/2010**

*Smt. Yasminbanu Ilyasbhai Diwan of Sokhda(Radhu) of Karjan Tehsil* in her application dated 12-4-2010 before the Commission stating that her husband is being in jail, the father-in-law and mother-in-law harassed her.

The application was forwarded to District Social Defence Officer, Vadodara for necessary action. The District Social Defence Officer in his letter dated 13-7-2010 informed to the Commission that her family arrived on successful compromise.

**VALSAD****15****B5R/25/2010**

*Shri Habibbhai Taiyabbhai Teenwala of valsad* by his application dated 24-4-2010 requested the State Commission to remove irregular encroachment. The application forwarded to the Collector, Valsad for necessary action. The Collector, in his reply dated 13-8-2010 informed the State Commission that the irregular encroachment has been removed.

**AHMEDABAD****16****ADC/594/2010**

A resident of Ahmedabad, *smt. Cheharben Maljibhai Desai* in her grievance application dated 30-8-2010 to the State Commission stated that she has not been getting Widow pension for Rs. 500 PM more than 10 months even after it was sanctioned to her by City Prant Officer with effect from August, 2009.

The State Commission asked for the report from City Prant Officer vide letter dated 11-10-2010; the City Prant Officer replied in his letter dated 30-10-2010 that his office only sanctions the pension and the payment is being made by the District Social Defence Officer.

The State Commission asked for report from the District Social Defence Officer vide letter dated 24-1-2011. The District Social Defence Officer has stated in his report dated 8-3-2011 that the arrears of Widow Pension has been credited in her bank account.

By this way, with the State Commission's positive approach, a widow received her widow pension.

## Chapter- 3

### Several Recommendations made by the Commission

**MEHSANA** **1** **MSA/71/2010**

**Applicant: Shri Pathan Husenkhan Zafakhan** **Date of Application: 30-7-2010**

The State Commission has recommended to the Collector, Mehsana to sanction plot to them who may be entitled as per norms after examining the remaining ousters beneficiaries out of BPL list under encroachment demolition drive.

It is informed by the Collector that considering the recommendation of the State Commission the plots have been allotted.

**AHMEDABAD** **2** **ADR/1/2007**

**Applicant: Smt. Nirmaliben K. Jagota** **Date of Application: 1-1-2007**

The State Commission in its partial modified recommendation to the Revenue Department and Roads & Buildings Department asked to fix responsibilities of the officers involved in violation the standards norms of road boundary line affair of 6-1-2007 in which the business of woman has been disturbed by way of demolition of her hotel near Dhandhuka and also grant compensation to her. Final report is awaited.

**GANDHINAGAR** **3** **GND/1B3/2009**

**Applicant: Smt. Mayaben J. Bhatt** **Date of Application: 23-11-2009**

The State Commission has recommended to the Social Defence Director to appoint the applicant on the post of Assistant Teacher having much experience and knowledge to qualify for the post compare to the selected candidate. It is further recommended to take legal action against the responsible institute. Case is under way.

**AHMEDABAD** **4** **ADC/410/2009**

**Applicant: Smt. Gitaben Bhikhabhai Sharma** **Date of Application: 7-8-2009**

The State Commission has recommended to the Director General of Police to reconsider the payment of pension and gratuity to the heirs of the retired police head constable. The report is awaited.

**AHMEDABAD** **5** **ADR/73/2010**

**Applicant: Shri Ashokbhai K. Gohil & others** **Date of Application: 12-5-2010**

After taking into consideration all aspects of the application, the State Commission has recommended to the labour Department to the effect that there should must to make provision in the law for granting substance allowance to the labourer at the time of the case filed in the Labour Court. The report is awaited.

**GANDHINAGAR**

**6**

**GND/175/2010**

**Applicant: Smt. Ramilaben Babubhai Makvana**

**Date of Application: 28-9-2010**

After examining the substances of the application the State Commission has recommended the Home Department and Addl. Director General of Police to sanction pensionary benefits to the wife of retired SRP constable.

The Addl. Director General of Police has reported to the Commission considering the recommendation of the commission and orders of the Hon'ble High Court, it is decided to abrogate the compulsory retirement order and confirm the removal order. The Case is under consideration.

**GANDHINAGAR**

**7**

**GND/180/2010**

**Applicant: Pro. Dr. M. B. Patel**

**Date of Application: 1-10-2010**

The State commission after consideration the facts of the application has recommended with detailed analysis and finding to the Higher Education Department to pay the benefits as per the standing policy and orders of the Education Department dated 17-6-1999 to the professor recruited on Ad-hoc basis and passed GPSC examination. The report is awaited.

## **Chapter - 4**

### **Visits of SHRC Officers and officials of the UNO**

#### **1. Visit of Gondal Sub Jail by the Secretary**

The Secretary has paid visit to Gondal Sub Jail on 27-10-2010 and he noticed that there were 138 prisoners including one child. It is also found that top roofs of the barracks were covered with jute bags which impediment day-light and air circulation. It is not proper in view of safety and security measures, so it must be covered with steel frame.

It is necessary to start vocational training activities for prisoners.

As stated by the prisoners before the Secretary, Medical Officer did no pay visit of the jail. They suggested that the medical treatment should be carried out periodically in jail by medical officer. They have nothing to say about food.

The Secretary has suggested for a new tubewell for water as two existing tubewells were failed.

#### **2. Visit of Surat district Jail by the Secretary**

The Secretary has noticed during his visit of Surat district jail on 19-11-2010 that the jail was over-crowded with 1122 prisoners as against inmate capacity of 350.

It is also noticed by the Secretary that the four terrorist out state prisoners instigate the other prisoners as well as disrupt the atmosphere of the jail by making false and superfluous complaints. The Secretary feels that they should be transferred either Ahmedabad or Vadodara central jail. The prisoners feel satisfaction except medical treatment. They feel dissatisfaction on the attitude of the medical officers of the civil hospital not being recommended for medical check-up even after recommended by the medical officer of the jail.

The jail is equipped with library and TV sets in each barrack.

As against total 281 sanctioned posts only 76 were filled. It is necessary to fill vacant posts at the earliest.

#### **3. Visit of Umargam sub Jail by the Secretary**

The Secretary has paid visit to Umargam sub jail on 20-11-2010. The prisoners of Pardi and Umargam tehsil are kept in this jail. It is found that the building of the jail is very old and situated in the narrow compound of Mamlatdar office and is not found proper in view of security as well healthy atmosphere of the jail. Moreover, there was absence of cleanliness. It was painful matter that two prisoners were ill but no care has been taken. They were treated only after intervention of the Secretary and he had suggested for permanent medical treatment for the prisoners.

The Secretary found that only boiled gram and three wheat breads which insufficient and unbalanced food serves by the contractor. After investigation it was stated by the contractor that his bills were not paid for two years by the authority so he was not able to serve more than that. The Secretary has requested to the SDM/RDM to ensure to pay the bills regularly.

#### 4. Visit of Jamnagar District Jail/ Remand Home by the Secretary

Jamnagar district jail and Remand Home has been visited by the Secretary on 29-12-2010. There were 462 prisoners as against inmate capacity of 466.

The prisoners had put a matter before the Secretary that the time of police surveillance has been fixed at the time to medical examination at civil hospital. In most of occasions they forced to back in jail with incomplete treatment as the time of surveillance expires. It is suggested that in those occasions the police surveillance time would be extended with the consultation between the RMO and Superintendent.

The jail has own library with 4000 books. There expressed satisfaction about food.

During the visit of **Remand Home**, it is found that it has vast compound and well equipped with care and support room, library, counseling, computer room, water RO plant, TV sets, and playing materials etc. The children live with joy and get balanced food.

#### 5. Visit of Palara Special Jail of Kachchh district

The Secretary of the State Commission had paid visit of the Palara Special Jail on 18-1-2011. The necessary report of the visit had been sent to the respective authorities.

##### **Visit of Godhra sub Jail/ Remand Home/ Women Shelter Home by the Secretary**

The Secretary had paid visit of Godhra sub Jail/ Remand Home/ Women Shelter Home on 24-1-2011.

The Secretary feels that the sub jail must be shifted out side the city by constructing new building as the existing building is constructed in 1864, in the view of security and safety and is in the middle of the city.

There were 211 prisoners as against inmate capacity of 165. Prisoners get vocational training. Jail has library, TV sets and newspapers. Teaching of Yoga, meditation and spiritual activities also conducted in jail. They expressed satisfaction about food.

Medical treatment must be conducted on regularly bases instead of on necessarily bases.

At time of visit of **Remand Home**, there were 8 children and they get education under *Sarva Shikshaa Abhiyan*. There was no part time psychologist for counseling which is necessary.

#### 6. In **Women Shelter Home**, there were 5 women and 4 children.

The local leaders had complained about irregularities of woman superintendent before the Secretary that she could not take proper care of the children. In last 6 months 5 children were died in the shelter home. The standard of cleanliness is also not maintained properly. The superintendent is habitual to leave the office in each holiday and thus multiple problems arise. They have put to the notice of higher authority of the irregularities of the superintendent but no action has been taken so far.

#### 7. Visit of Bharuch sub Jail by the Secretary

The Secretary has paid visit of Bharuch sub jail on 31-1-2011. The jail is divided in two circles. The two barracks out of six of 1<sup>st</sup> circle and three barracks out of five of 2<sup>nd</sup> circle

were unused due to want of repairing. Not only that the entire construction of the jail is very old and requires either repair or construct new one. It is necessary to mention here that in the last year, one incident of collapse of wall was reported and two prisoners were buried and died under the garbage of wall.

Water is provided by tankers. A library and TV sets are there for the prisoners. Teaching of Yoga, meditation and spiritual activities also conducted in jail.

The prisoners had put a matter before the Secretary that the time of police surveillance fixed at the time to medical examination at civil hospital. In most of events they forced to ~~back in jail~~ with incomplete treatment as the time of surveillance expires. It is suggested that in those events the police surveillance time must be extended.

### **Outstate visit of the Secretary and Deputy Secretary**

The Secretary and the Deputy Secretary of the Sate Commission had paid study visit of the Andhra Pradesh State Human Rights Commission on 29-10-2010 and 30-10-2010.

During 9-2-2011 and 10-2-2011 the Secretary and the Deputy Secretary of the Sate Commission had paid study visit of Maharashtra State Human Rights Commission.

During these visits they acquired information about the functioning of the State Commissions.

### **Visit of special rapporteur of UNO to GSHRC**

The high level delegation consisting of three members: Ms Margaret Sekaggya, Mr. Dolores Infante Canibano and Ms. Olga Nakajo and coupled with *Shri Jyoti Kalash IAS*, Director of Home Affairs and Miss *Rohita Mishra* paid visit to the GSHRC on 17-1-2011.

The high level delegation was welcomed by the Hon. Chairperson as well as senior officers of the GSHRC. *Ms Margaret Sekaggya* the special rapporteur of UNO took the stock of the situation of the various issues pertaining to the human rights and its promotion and preservation. The Hon. Chairperson of the GSHRC appraised the delegation of the functioning as well as the position of the various petitions and state of status of human rights. The high level delegation also discussed the various provisions incorporated into POHRA, 1993 with the Hon. Chairperson. The Hon. Chairperson shed light of various aspects of POHRA, 1993. The delegation expressed satisfaction over functioning of the Commission.

## Annexure - 1

### Statement showing financial accounts of Gujarat State Human Rights Commission

Major Head of budget : 2070, Other Administrative Services  
Minor Head : 104, Vigilance  
Sub Head : 03, GSHRC

Year wise Amount (Rs. in lakhs)

Year	Grant Sanctioned				Actual expenditure incurred			
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Amt.	111.65	124.89	178.00	200.00	108.29	125.42	194.67	182.95



## Annexure 2

Gujarat State Human Rights Commission

Statement of custodial deaths - 2010-11

Custodial deaths								Remarks
Sr. No.	Name of Districts	Jail custody	Police custody	Total	Accidental death	Sucide death	un-natural / doubtful death	
1	2							The cases were closed which are delt by the NHRC or other SHRCs as per the section 21(5) and 36(1) of the Act
1	Ahmadabad city	19		19	19			
2	Ahmedabad Rural							
3	Mehsana	1	1	2		1	1	
4	Rajkot City	5		5	5			
5	Rajkot Rural	1	1	2	2			
6	Bhavnagar							
7	Surat City		1	1	1			
8	Surat Rural	1		1	1			
9	Vadodara City	7		7	7			
10	Vadodara Rural		1	1			1	
11	Kheda	1	1	2	2			
12	Banaskantha	3		3	3			
13	Sabarkantha							
14	Jamnagar	1		1	1			
15	Junagadh	1		1	1			
16	Kutch	1		1	1			
17	Surendranagar							
18	Amreli							
19	Valsad							
20	Bharuch	1		1	1			
21	Panchmahals							
22	Gandhinagar							
23	Dangs							
24	Dahod							
25	Navsari							
26	Narmada							
27	Anand							
28	Patan		1	1		1		
29	Porbandar							
30	Tapi							
	Total	42	6	48	44	2	2	

### ANNEXURE – 3

#### Statement of Women related crimes registered in the year 2010-11 in the State

Sr. No.	Name of Districts	Rape (376)	Murder (302)	Abduction (363, 366)	Attempt to murder (307)	Dowry death (304-b)	Harassment (498-A)	Molestation (354)	Abatement to suicide (306)	Grievous heart (325)	Normal injury (324)	Attempt to commit suicide (309)
1	Ahmedabad city	49	14	153		6	1429	96	25	9	11	13
2	Rajkot city	9	9	41	5	0	328	20	30	4	24	0
3	Surat city	27	22	98	6	3	420	45	36	11	27	1
4	Vadodara city	8	10	30	7	0	221	22	12	9	16	1
5	Ahmedabad rural	10	4	58	3	1	173	25	16	7	32	0
6	Kheda	17	12	46	5	0	203	33	17	2	5	1
7	Anand	12	17	45	0	0	303	34	23	3	9	0
8	Gandhinagar	8	7	35	4	1	208	12	15	2	5	0
9	Sabarkantha	21	13	44	3	0	252	33	16	3	3	0
10	Mehsana	14	9	64	6	0	300	30	14	4	2	0
11	Jamnagar	15	10	24	2	1	331	22	34	17	24	0
12	Rajkot rural	26	11	63	3	3	348	38	31	8	17	0
13	Surendranagar	23	6	59	0	0	155	53	19	8	13	0
14	Bansakantha	32	16	96	2	1	269	61	20	0	7	1
15	Katch	9	9	44	5	0	294	33	28	6	12	0
16	Patan	20	7	29	2	0	156	51	10	4	11	0
17	Amreli	9	6	56	2	1	176	32	15	18	34	0
18	Bhavnagar	9	18	74	3	1	227	43	33	23	47	0
19	Junagadh	14	14	68	1	0	594	60	22	12	33	0
20	Porbandar	3	6	19	3	0	68	36	7	2	14	0
21	Vadodara rural	19	14	69	3	0	81	36	19	7	42	0
22	Bharuch	19	11	28	5	0	114	9	13	26	39	1
23	Narmada	6	4	5	0	0	11	33	6	13	10	0
24	Panchmahal	12	12	64	7	0	196	28	32	10	10	0
25	Dahod	9	7	50	5	1	35	3	14	45	32	0
26	Surat rural	7	10	9	2	0	23	3	6	1	1	4
27	Tapi	4	5	5	0	1	13	7	9	9	10	3
28	Valsad	12	5	10	0	0	29	4	7	0	0	0
29	Navsari	4	3	5	2	0	36	0	0	3	4	1
30	Dangs	0	3	1	2	0	2	1	1	3	2	3
31	WR railway	1	3	2	2	1	4	7	4	1	0	3
	<b>Total</b>	<b>428</b>	<b>297</b>	<b>1394</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>6999</b>	<b>910</b>	<b>534</b>	<b>270</b>	<b>496</b>	<b>32</b>

## Annexure - 4

### Head wise and subject wise code list of complaints of violation of Human Rights as classified by the NHRC

(See Rule : 13 NHRC)

Code No.	Major Head (Main Subject)	Sub Code No.	Sub Head (Sub Subject)
1	2	3	4
100	Children	100-01	Child Labour
		100-02	Child Marriage
		100-03	Child Prostitution
		100-04	Exploitation of Children
		100-05	Illegal Traffic on Children
200	Health	200-01	Exploitation of mentally retarded persons
		200-02	Public Health hazards
		200-03	Malfunction of Medical Profession
300	Jail	300-01	Custodial Death
		300-02	Custodial Rape
		300-03	Exploitation of Child Prisoners
		300-04	Debarment of Legal Aid
		300-05	Harassment of Prisoners
		300-06	Inequalities in Jails
		300-07	Irregularities in Jails
		300-08	Unlawful Solitary confinement of Prisoners
400	Judiciary	400-01	Biased Judiciary
		400-02	Double Jeopardy
500	Bully / Mafia / Underworld	500-01	Nuisance by notorious Gundas/Mafias
		500-02	Nuisance by local elements
		500-03	Harassment by Anti-social Elements
600	Labour	600-01	Bonded Labour
		600-02	Exploitation of Labourers
		600-03	Forced Labour
		600-04	Hazardous employment
		600-05	Risks of Slavery
		600-06	Traffic of Human Labour
700	Minority / Scheduled Caste / Scheduled Tribe	700-01	Discrimination with Minority
		700-02	Discrimination with SC / ST
800	Police	800-01	Autocracy of Power
		800-02	Abduction / Kidnapping
		800-03	Abduction / Rape
		800-04	Misuse of Power
		800-05	Attempt of Murder
		800-06	Atrocities on SC / ST
		800-07	Custodial Death
		800-08	Custodial Rape
		800-09	Harassment in Custody
		800-10	Violence in Custody
		800-11	Death in Police Firing

Code No.	Major Head (Main Subject)	Sub Code No.	Sub Head (Sub Subject)
1	2	3	4
		800-12	Death in Police Encounter
		800-13	Fake Encounter
		800-14	Failure in taking Legal Action
		800-15	Wrong instigation/trap
		800-16	Illegal Arrest
		800-17	Illegal detention
		800-18	Undue Arrest
		800-19	Police sponsored incidents
		800-20	Delay in Police Procedure
		800-21	Victim of Grudging, Exploitation
		900	Pollution, World of Embodied souls and Environment
900-02	Environmental Pollution		
900-03	Misuse of Scientific and Technical Development		
900-04	Surrounding Effects of Environment		
1000	Surrender / Refugee / Migrator	1000-01	Atrocity on migrants
		1000-02	Chakma Refugees Problems
		1000-03	Srilankan Refugees Problems
1100	Religion / Cult / Castes	1100-01	Communal Violence
		1100-02	Ethnic Conflicts
		1100-03	Group Clashes
		1100-04	Racial Discrimination
		1100-05	Religious Discrimination
		1100-06	Religious Intolerance
1200	Service Matters	1200-01	Disparity in Employment Opportunities
		1200-02	Non Payment of Pension / Compensation
		1200-03	Other Service Disputes
1300	Women	1300-01	Abduction, Rape and Murder
		1300-02	Commission of Sati
		1300-03	Discrimination against Women
		1300-04	Dowry Death or its attempts
		1300-05	Dowry Demand
		1300-06	Exploitation of Women
		1300-07	Gang Rape
		1300-08	Indecent representations of Women
		1300-09	Indignity of Women
		1300-10	Immoral Trafficking of Women
		1300-11	Rape
		1300-12	Sexual Harassment
1400	TADA	1400-01	Harassment of detained
		1400-02	Misuse of TADA
		1400-03	Illegal Arrest under TADA
1500	Miscellaneous	1500-01	Disappearance of Persons
		1500-02	Land Disputes
		1500-03	Hunger Strike
		1500-04	Family Disputes
		1500-05	Others

## Annexure 5(A)

## Gujarat State Human Rights Commission

## Progressive Statement of works done in the cases up to the year 2010-11

Sr. No.	Districts	2006-07			2007-08			2008-09			2009-10			2010-11			Total		
		Recd	disp	Pend	Recd	disp	Pend	Recd	disp	Pend	Recd	disp	Pend	Recd	disp	Pend	Recd	disp	Pend
1	Ahmedabad City	80	78	2	318	309	9	557	541	16	744	580	164	784	553	231	2483	2061	422
2	Ahmed Rural	23	21	2	60	54	6	66	62	4	126	103	23	131	81	50	406	321	85
3	Mehsana	25	25	0	41	39	2	89	84	5	123	113	10	95	79	16	373	340	33
4	Rajkot City	11	11	0	25	24	1	50	47	3	63	58	5	68	64	4	217	204	13
5	Rajkot Rural	13	13	0	32	31	1	48	45	3	63	59	4	90	83	7	246	231	15
6	Bhavnagar	22	22	0	50	48	2	107	101	6	178	168	10	146	115	31	503	454	49
7	Surat City	9	9	0	41	40	1	81	78	3	105	83	22	136	104	32	372	314	58
8	Surat Rural	12	12	0	27	27	0	22	20	2	27	23	4	39	27	12	127	109	18
9	Vadodara City	20	20	0	58	58	0	80	77	3	87	72	15	78	39	39	323	266	57
10	Vadodara Rural	3	3	0	14	13	1	29	25	4	44	33	11	53	35	18	143	109	34
11	Kheda	30	30	0	54	53	1	80	76	4	104	95	9	81	44	37	349	298	51
12	Banasakha	26	26	0	61	60	1	92	88	4	116	105	11	151	111	40	446	390	56
13	Sabarkantha	24	24	0	67	65	2	99	96	3	140	130	10	118	92	26	448	407	41
14	Jamnagar	28	28	0	46	44	2	58	56	2	130	121	9	125	102	23	387	351	36
15	Junagadh	16	16	0	36	36	0	60	57	3	109	97	12	110	82	28	331	288	43
16	Kutch-Bhuj	16	16	0	27	27	0	45	42	4	39	29	10	68	56	12	196	170	26
17	Surendranagar	28	28	0	32	32	0	67	63	4	70	66	4	68	51	17	265	240	25
18	Amreli	26	26	0	35	34	1	41	38	3	85	76	9	82	51	31	269	225	44
19	Valsad	3	3	0	9	9	0	34	32	2	39	33	6	53	31	22	138	108	30
20	Bharuch	9	9	0	38	38	0	60	54	6	61	47	14	48	29	19	216	177	39
21	Panch Mahals	11	11	0	47	45	2	66	60	6	56	46	10	73	42	31	253	204	49
22	Gandhinagar	24	23	1	98	93	5	182	171	11	203	185	18	205	139	66	712	611	101
23	Dangs-Ahwa	3	3	0	3	3	0	7	6	1	4	4	0	9	5	4	26	21	5
24	Dahod	17	17	0	29	29	0	35	32	3	53	44	9	40	23	17	174	145	29
25	Navsari	3	3	0	13	13	0	28	25	3	14	9	5	26	17	9	84	67	17
26	Narmada	60	60	0	41	40	1	28	26	2	31	29	2	16	15	1	176	170	6
27	Anand	25	25	0	43	42	1	64	60	4	79	65	14	88	46	42	299	238	61
28	Patan	23	23	0	51	50	1	62	58	4	71	60	11	74	55	19	281	246	35
29	Porbandar	2	2	0	4	4	0	12	11	1	15	14	1	7	4	3	40	35	5
30	Tapi (Vyara)	0	0	0	2	2	0	10	8	2	13	10	3	15	12	3	40	32	8
31	Other	18	16	2	18	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36	32	4
	<b>Total</b>	<b>610</b>	<b>603</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1420</b>	<b>1378</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>2260</b>	<b>2139</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>2992</b>	<b>2557</b>	<b>435</b>	<b>3077</b>	<b>2187</b>	<b>890</b>	<b>10359</b>	<b>8864</b>	<b>1495</b>

## Annexure - 5 (B)

### Gujarat State Human Rights Commission

Subject and Head wise Progressive statement of petitions received up to the year 2010-11

Sr. No.	Major Head/ Subject	Subject Code	Year wise Petitions received					Total
			2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	
1	Children	100-01 to 100-05	4	8	1	3	6	22
2	Health	200-01 to 200-03	5	2	13	22	22	64
3	Jail	300-01 to 300-08	10	11	43	23	8	95
4	Judiciary	400-1 to 400-02	3	7	18	22	12	62
5	Mafia/ Under world	500-01 to 500-03	22	58	142	168	209	599
6	Labour	600-01 to 600-06	10	12	20	22	16	80
7	Discr. Against Minority /SC/ST	700-01 to 700-02	36	37	50	142	129	394
8	Police	800-01 to 800-21	163	394	602	910	1002	3071
9	Pollution/ Ecology and Environment	900-01 to 900-04	10	16	12	22	27	87
10	Refuges/ Migrants	1000-01 to 1000-03	1	0	0	0	0	1
11	Religious/ Community/ Race	1100-01 to 1100-06	7	6	16	12	7	48
12	Service matter	1200-01 to 1200-03	55	118	306	343	289	1111
13	Women	1300-01 to 1300-12	50	117	155	205	200	727
14	TADA/ PASA	1400-01 to 1400-03	2	1	8	5	7	23
15	Miscellaneous (land/Family etc)	1500-01 to 1500-04	232	654	874	1093	1143	3996
	<b>Total</b>		<b>610</b>	<b>1441</b>	<b>2260</b>	<b>2992</b>	<b>3077</b>	<b>10380</b>

ANNEXURE: 5 C(1)

Statistical information regarding petitions received by the State Commission - Approved subjects and District wise - 2010-11

Sr. No.	Name of Districts	CHILDREN					HEALTH					JAIL					Total 11 to 18	
		Child labor 100-01	Child marriage 100-02	Child prostitution 100-03	Exploitation of children (100-04)	Immoral traffic of children 100-05	Total 3 to 7	Exploitation of mentally retarded persons (200-01)	Public health hazards (200-02)	Malfunctioning of medical professionals (200-03)	Total 8 to 10	Custodial death (300-01)	Custodial rape (300-02)	Exploitation of child prisoners (300-03)	Debarment of legal aid (300-04)	Harassment of prisoners (300-05)		Inequities in prison (300-06)
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	
1	Ahmedabad city				2		4		4					1				2
2	Ahmedabad Rural	1																0
3	Mehsana																	0
4	Rajkot City																	0
5	Rajkot Rural																	0
6	Bhavnagar																	0
7	Surat City						1							1				1
8	Surat Rural						1											0
9	Vadodara City								1					2				2
10	Vadodara Rural								1						1			1
11	Kheda						1			1								1
12	Banaskantha						1		4									0
13	Sabarkantha																	0
14	Jamnagar								2									0
15	Junagadh																	0
16	Kutch															1		1
17	Surendranagar																	0
18	Amreli	1																0
19	Valsad								1									0
20	Bharuch								1									0
21	Panchmahals						1		1									0
22	Gandhinagar				1													0
23	Dangs																	0
24	Dahod																	0
25	Navsari																	0
26	Narmada								1									0
27	Anand																	0
28	Patan		1						1									0
29	Porbandar																	0
30	Tapi																	0
	Total	0	3	0	3	0	6	2	7	13	22	1	0	4	2	1	0	8

**ANNEXURE 5 C (2)**  
**Statistical information regarding petitions received by the State Commission - Approved subjects and District wise - 2010-11**

Sr. No.	Name of Districts	JUDICIARY 400-1 to 400-02				Mafia/under World 500-01 to 500-03				LABOUR						Total (24 to 29)
		Biased Judiciary (400-01)	Double jeopardy (400-02)	Total (19 to 20)	Nuisance by notorious Gangs/Mafias (500-01)	Nuisance by local ruffian (500-02)	Trouble by Anti-social elements (500-03)	Total (21 to 23)	Bonded labor (600-01)	Exploitation of labors (600-02)	Forced labour (600-03)	Hazardous employments (600-04)	Slavery (600-05)	Traffic of human labour (600-06)		
1	2	19	20		21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29			
1	Ahmedabad city	1		1	1	56	63		1		1			2		
2	Ahmedabad Rural	1		1		5	10							0		
3	Mehsana			0			2		2					2		
4	Rajkot City	1		1			1							0		
5	Rajkot Rural			0			3		1					1		
6	Bhavnagar			0		3	11							0		
7	Surat City			0		1	2		5					5		
8	Surat Rural	1		1										0		
9	Vadodara City	1		1			1							0		
10	Vadodara Rural			0										0		
11	Kheda			0			1		1					1		
12	Banaskantha			0		1	6							0		
13	Sabarkantha			0		1	6		1					1		
14	Jamnagar		1	1			3							0		
15	Junagadh	1		1		1	8							0		
16	Kutch	1		1	1				1					1		
17	Surendranagar			0			4							0		
18	Amreli			0			1							0		
19	Valsad	1		1										0		
20	Bharuch	2		2			1							0		
21	Panchmahals			0		1	1				1			1		
22	Gandhinagar			0		3	5							0		
23	Dangs			0										0		
24	Dahod			0							1			1		
25	Navsari			0										0		
26	Narmada			0										0		
27	Anand	1		1										0		
28	Patan			0			5				1			1		
29	Porbandar			0			1							0		
30	Tapi			0										0		
	Total	11	1	12	2	72	135	0	12	0	4	0	0	16		



**ANNEXURE 5 C (3)**  
**Statistical information regarding petitions received by the State Commission - Approved subjects and District wise - 2010-11**

Sr. No.	Name of Districts	Discri. Against Minority /SC/ST 700-01 to 700-02										POLICE 800-01 to 800-21										Total (32 to 52)		
		Discri. Against Minority (700-01)	Discri. Against Minority /SC/ST (700-02)	Total (30 to 31)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17		18	19
1	2	30	31	17	7		45										100	28	3	3	8	8	107	299
1	Ahmedabad City	2	15	6			6										19	10	1				8	44
2	Ahmedabad Rural	3	4	7	3		1										20	2					1	27
3	Mehsana	3	1	4	3		3										16		2				2	26
4	Rajkot City	3	7	7	3		3		1								12		1				1	21
5	Rajkot Rural	4	2	6	1		6										28	4	1				7	47
6	Bhavnagar	2	2	4			21										10	1						32
7	Surat City		1	1			9												1					10
8	Surat Rural			0			27										4	4						35
9	Vadodara City		4	4			10										3	1					1	11
10	Vadodara Rural		1	1			24										42	7	1	1			1	29
11	Kheda	4	10	14	9		4										27	3						67
12	Banskantha	5	5	10	5		4										23	1		1				39
13	Sabarkantha		12	12	2		1										26	5	1				1	29
14	Jamnagar		1	1	1		1										5	1	1					35
15	Junagadh	2	1	3	5		1										13	1	1				1	15
16	Kutch	4	5	9	2		1										20	2					1	20
17	Surendranagar		4	4	2		1										3		1					25
18	Amreli		1	1			12										1	2						16
19	Valsad			0			8										5							11
20	Bharuch		3	3			20										17		1	1			19	25
21	Panchmahals		4	4	2		7										4							47
22	Gandhinagar			0			2										4							6
23	Dangs			0			14										4	2	1					22
24	Dahod		1	1			4										1					1		6
25	Navsari		1	1			4										1	1						6
26	Narmada		1	1			19										5	2	1					27
27	Anand		2	3			2										13	1					1	22
28	Patan		4	6	3		2										1							1
29	Porbandar			0			2																	2
30	Tapi			0			2																	2
	Total	35	94	129	48	0	262	0	1	2	0	1	0	2	0	0	422	80	5	15	0	10	136	1002

**ANNEXURE 5 C (4)**  
**Statistical information regarding petitions received by the State Commission - Approved subjects and District wise - 2010-11**

Sr. No.	Name of Districts	POLLUTION/ ECOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENT (900-01 to 900-04)				REFUGES /MIGRANTS 1000-01 to 1000-03				RELIGION/ COMMUNITY/RACE						
		Ecological disturbances (900-01)	Environmental pollution (900-02)	Misuses of Scientific and Technical Development (900-03)	Pollution affecting surrounding (900-04)	Total (53 to 56)	Atrocity on Migrants (1000-01)	Chakra refugee problems (1000-02)	Shrilankan refugee problems (1000-03)	Total (57 to 60)	Communal violence (1100-01)	Ethnic conflicts (1100-02)	Group clashes (1100-03)	Racial Discrimination (1100-04)	Religious discrimination (1100-05)	Religious intolerance (1100-06)
1	2	53	54	55	56		57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	
1	Ahmedabad city	2				2							1		1	2
2	Ahmedabad Rural					0								1		1
3	Mehsana					0								1		1
4	Rajkot City	1		1		2								1		1
5	Rajkot Rural			1		1										0
6	Bhavnagar					0										0
7	Surat City		1			1										0
8	Surat Rural					0										0
9	Vadodara City					0										0
10	Vadodara Rural					0										0
11	Kheda					0							1			1
12	Banaskantha	1		1		2										0
13	Sabarkantha	1		3		4										0
14	Jamnagar				1	2										0
15	Junagadh	1		3		4										0
16	Kutch			1		1										0
17	Surendranagar	2		1		3								1		1
18	Amreli	2				2										0
19	Valsad					0										0
20	Bharuch					0										0
21	Panchmahals					0										0
22	Gandhinagar					0										0
23	Dangs					0										0
24	Dahod					0										0
25	Navsari					0										0
26	Narmada					0										0
27	Anand		1			1							1			1
28	Patan			2		2										0
29	Porbandar					0										0
30	Tapi					0										0
	Total	11	2	13	1	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	1	7

**ANNEXURE 5 C (5)**  
**Statistical information regarding petitions received by the State Commission - Approved subjects and District wise - 2010-11**


Sr. No.	Name of Districts	SERVICE MATTERS										WOMEN									
		1200-01 to 1200-03					1300-01 to 1300-12					1300-01 to 1300-12					1300-01 to 1300-12				
		Disparities in employment opportunities (1200-01)	Non-payment of pension/Compensation (1200-02)	Other service disputes (1200-03)	Total (66 to 69)	Abduction rapes and murder (1300-01)	Commission of sati (1300-02)	Discrimination against women (1300-03)	Dowry death or attempts (1300-04)	Dowry demand (1300-05)	Exploitation of women (1300-06)	Gang rape (1300-07)	Indecent representation of women (1300-08)	Indignity of women (1300-09)	Immortal trafficking on women (1300-10)	Rape (1300-11)	Sexual Harassment (1300-12)	Total (69 to 80)			
1	2	66	67	68	70	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80				
1	Ahmedabad City	17	13	12	42	6		2	4		22			4	2	1	6	47			
2	Ahmedabad Rural	3		3	6	2			1		1						1	5			
3	Mehsana	3	2	12	17	3			1	3				2				9			
4	Rajkot City	2	1	5	8		2			4								6			
5	Rajkot Rural		1	2	3	5	1		1	3				1				12			
6	Bhavnagar		5	10	15	2	1											3			
7	Surat City			10	10	2	5			4								11			
8	Surat Rural			1	1													0			
9	Vadodara City			13	13													0			
10	Vadodara Rural			7	7					2	1							3			
11	Kheda			5	5					2				1				3			
12	Danaskantha		1	5	6	3	2			3					4			12			
13	Sabarkantha	3	2	5	10	3	3			5				3	3			17			
14	Jamnagar		4	7	11	1	1			3				4				9			
15	Junagadh	1	5	5	11	1	1		2	1						1		6			
16	Kutch	3	1	11	15	1			1									2			
17	Surendranagar	3		6	9					1	1				1			3			
18	Amreli	2	1	7	10	8	1		1	5					2			17			
19	Valsad			8	8					1								1			
20	Bharuch			6	6					2								2			
21	Panchmahals			4	4					1								1			
22	Gandhinagar	11	16	16	43	5				5				3	1	1	1	15			
23	Dangs			1	1													0			
24	Dahod			3	3	1				1					1			3			
25	Navsari			9	9					1								1			
26	Narmada			2	2			1		1								2			
27	Anand			4	4					2								2			
28	Patan	1	1	3	5	1	1		1	1			1		2	1	1	5			
29	Porbandar		3	1	4													0			
30	Tapli			1	1													0			
	Total	49	56	184	289	44	0	20	10	3	74	2	0	19	6	12	10	200			

**ANNEXURE 5 C (6)**  
**Statistical information regarding petitions received by the State Commission - Approved subjects and District wise - 2010-11**

Sr. No.	Name of Districts	TADA/PASA (1400-01 to 1400-03)					Miscellaneous (1500-01 to 1500-04)					Grand Total
		Harassment of detainees (1400-01)	Misuse of TADA (1400-02)	Unlawful detention under TADA (1400-03)	Total (81 to 83)	Disappearance (1500-01)	Land disputes (1500-02)	Hunger strike (1500-03)	Family disputes (1500-04)	Others (1500-05)	Total (84 to 89)	
1	2	81	82	83		84	85	86	87	88	89	
1	Ahmedabad city		3	3	6		41	1	22	174	238	784
2	Ahmedabad Rural			1	1		20		4	31	51	131
3	Mehsana				0		10			16	30	95
4	Rajkot City				0		9		1	10	20	68
5	Rajkot Rural				0		24		3	15	42	90
6	Bhavnagar				0		18		3	40	61	146
7	Surat City				0		21		2	45	68	136
8	Surat Rural				0		11		1	13	25	39
9	Vadodara City				0		5		1	19	25	78
10	Vadodara Rural				0		12		2	12	26	53
11	Kheda				0		14		3	21	38	81
12	Banaskantha				0		15		3	20	38	151
13	Sabarkantha				0		10		5	15	30	118
14	Jamnagar				0		21		10	25	56	125
15	Junagadh				0		19		3	20	43	110
16	Kutch				0		6		1	20	28	68
17	Surendranagar				0		8	1	1	9	19	68
18	Amreli				0		10		4	8	22	82
19	Valsad				0		9			16	25	53
20	Bharuch				0		10		1	14	25	48
21	Panchmahals				0		9		4	22	35	73
22	Gandhinagar				0		24		5	58	87	205
23	Dangs				0					2	2	9
24	Dahod				0		3			8	11	40
25	Navsari				0		1			8	9	26
26	Narmada				0		2			2	4	16
27	Anand				0		9		1	36	46	88
28	Patan				0		9		1	15	26	74
29	Porbandar				0					1	1	7
30	Tapi				0		4			8	12	15
	Total	0	3	4	7	3	354	2	81	703	1143	3077


**Annexure- 6 (1)**  
Gujarat State Human Rights Commission

**Applications received- district wise and proved subject wise > 2010-11**



Name of district

**Annexure- 6(2)**  
**Gujarat State Human Rights Commission**



## Annexure - 7

### List of sanctioned posts of the Commission - Permanent Posts

Sr. No.	Name of the post	Nos.	Pay Scale	Grade pay	
1.	Hon'ble Chairperson	1	Rs. 90,000/- Fix + Allowances	-	
2.	Member ( Officiating or Retired High Court / District Judge	1	As per rules	-	
3.	Member (Expert)	1	As per rules	--	
4.	Secretary	1	Rs. 37,000 – 67,000	10,000/-	cadre post
5.	Additional Director General of Police	1	Rs. 67,000 – 79,000	-	cadre post
6.	Deputy Secretary	1	Rs. 15,600 – 39,100	Rs.7600/-	cadre post
7.	Under Secretary	1	Rs. 15,600 – 39,100	Rs.5400/-	cadre post
8.	Deputy Superintendent of Police	1	Rs. 15,600 – 39,100	Rs.5400/-	cadre post
9.	Section Officer	3	Rs. 9,300 – 34,800	Rs.4600/-	cadre post
10.	Police Inspector	1	Rs. 9,300 – 34,800	Rs.4600/-	cadre post
11.	Deputy Section Officer	12	Rs. 9,300 – 34,800	Rs.4400/-	cadre post
12.	Police Sub Inspector	3	Rs. 9,300 – 34,800	Rs.4400/-	cadre post
13.	Steno Grade-I	6	Rs. 9,300 – 34,800	Rs.4600/-	cadre post
14.	Steno Grade-II	1	Rs. 9,300 – 34,800	Rs.4400/-	cadre post
15.	Driver	2	Rs. 5,200 – 20,200	Rs.1900/-	cadre post
16.	Police Constable	8	Rs. 5,200 – 20,200	Rs.1800/-	cadre post
17.	Peon	6	Rs. 4,440 – 7,440	Rs.1300/-	cadre post
18.	Cook	1	Rs. 4000/- Fix	-	
19.	Hamal Cum Sweeper	1	Rs. 4,440 – 7,440	Rs.1300/-	cadre post
	<b>Total...</b>	<b>52</b>			

### (B) List of sanctioned posts

Sr. No.	Name of the post	Nos.	Pay Scale	Grade pay	
1	Registrar(Legal)	1	Rs. 37,400 – 67,000	Rs.8700/-	cadre post
2.	Legal officer(legal)	1	Rs15,600 – 39,100	Rs.6600/-	cadre post
3.	Section Officer	1	Rs. 9,300 – 34,800	Rs.4600/-	cadre post
4.	Accounts Officer	1	Rs. 9,300 – 34,800	Rs.4600/-	cadre post
5.	Steno Grade-I	4	Rs. 9,300 – 34,800	Rs.4600/-	cadre post
6.	Librarian	1	Rs. 9,300 – 34,800	Rs.4200/-	cadre post
7.	Driver	2	Rs. 5,200 – 20,200	Rs.1900/-	cadre post
8.	Receptionist	1	Rs. 5,200 – 20,200	Rs.1900/-	cadre post
9.	Data Operator cum clerk	3	Rs. 5,200 – 20,200	Rs.1900/-	cadre post
10.	Peon	6	Rs. 4,440 – 7,440	Rs.1300/-	cadre post
11.	Library Attendant	1	Rs. 4,440 – 7,440	Rs.1300/-	
	<b>Total...</b>	<b>22</b>			


## Annexure - 8

### Telephone, Fax and Mobile Numbers of the Officers of the Commission

Sr. No.	Name	Office	Residence
		(STD Code: 079 )	(STD Code: 079)
1.	Dr. Justice J. N. Bhatt Hon'ble Chairperson (From 8-8-2011)	232 57546 Mobile: 9978406347	232 43066
2.	Shri Sudhir Sinha Member (From 22-11-2011)	23257498 Mobile 9978406198	26858828
3.	Shri Koshor Rao D. IAS Secretary & CEO	232 57547 Mobile: 9898399007	23254919
4.	(Vacant) Additional D.G.P.	232 57592	
5	(Vacant) Deputy Secretary	232 57595	
6.	Shri J. K. Patel Under Secretary	232 57631 Mobile: 9427001371	
7.	(Vacant) Dy. SP	232 57633	
8.	(Vacant) Section Officer	232 57593	
9.	(Vacant) Section Officer	232 57629	
10.	Shri J. K. Patel, Section Officer	232 57627 Mobile: 9725199458	
11.	Shri K. K. Shah Section Officer	232 57594 Mobile 9904146346	
12.	Shri J. R. Hedamba Police Inspector	232 57528 Mobile: 94275 24644	
13.	Kum. S. J. Chaudhary, Accounts Officer	232 57594 Mobile: 98980 04117	
	Office Fax	079 232 57596	









**Nr. Town Hall, Sector-17  
Gandhinagar-382 017. Gujarat  
Phone : 079 232 57547 57593  
Fax : 079 232 57596**

Designed & Printed at Government Central Press, Gandh

Y-766-750-2-2012